## REMARKS

Claims 1-13 and 32 are pending in this application.

Claims 1, 10 and 32 have been amended by the present Amendment. No new matter is added by the present Amendment.

## REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1-13 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,821,155 ("Kloos").

Claims 1, 10 and 32 recite, *inter alia*, that the color filter composition is coated over a substrate to form a color filter thin film capable of being patterned using a photo process or a photolithography process.

In contrast to the claimed embodiment, Applicants submit that the fire retardant multi-colored compositions disclosed in Kloos are not capable of forming a color filter thin film that can be patterned using a photo process or a photolithography process. Accordingly, Kloos does not meet and does not render obvious the embodiments as recited in claims 1, 10 and 32.

Further, Applicants maintain the arguments submitted in the previous office action response that the claimed weight percent ranges of the solid powder are critical, and that Kloos is non-analogous art.

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that amended claims 1, 10 and 32 are patentable over the cited reference.

For at least the reason that claims 2-9 depend from claim 1, and claims 11-13 depend from claim 10. claims 2-9 and 11-13 are also submitted to be patentable over

the cited reference.

As such, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1-13 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

An early and favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner has any further questions or comments, the Examiner may telephone Applicants' Attorney to reach a prompt disposition of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael F. Morano Reg. No. 44,952

Attorney for Applicants

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 Woodbury Road Woodbury, NY 11797 (516) 692-8888